## REMARKS

By this Amendment the specification has been amended on page 6 to further describe the features depicted in Fig. 2 and claims 1, 5 and 6 have been amended to recited further features of the invention. Entry is requested.

In the outstanding Office Action the examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 (presumably claim 7 as well) under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bastholm et al. in view of Weimer et al., and he has stated that claims 3 and 4 contain allowable subject matter.

It is believe that with the amendments to the claims proposed herein, all the claims are now allowable. In this regard, no combination of Bastholm et al. and Weimer et al. would suggest use of an astable timer with duty cycle that are controlled as defined in the claims 1, 5 and 6.

Favorable reevaluation is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

DYKEMA-GOSSETT PLLC

By:

Richard H. Tushin

Registration No. 27,297

Franklin Square, Third Floor West

1300 I Street N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3353

(202) 906-8680